BUDGET AND RENT SETTING REPORT AND TREASURY MANAGEMENT STRATEGY REPORT 2012/13-2014/15

To: Governance and Audit Committee – 13th December 2011

Main Portfolio Area: Finance and Corporate Services

By: Treasury and Capital Accountant

Classification: Unrestricted

Summary: This report is to provide the Governance and Audit Committee with

the proposed Treasury Management Strategy Report for 2012-13 for

their approval.

For Decision

1.0 Introduction and Background

- 1.1 This report outlines the Council's prudential indicators for 2012/13 2014/15 and sets out the expected treasury operations for this period. It fulfils four key legislative requirements:
 - The reporting of the prudential indicators, setting out the expected capital activities
 (as required by the CIPFA Prudential Code for Capital Finance in Local Authorities –
 as per section 2.0 of this report). The treasury management prudential indicators are
 now included as treasury indicators in the CIPFA Treasury Management Code of
 Practice:
 - The Council's Minimum Revenue Provision (MRP) Policy, which sets out how the Council will pay for capital assets through revenue each year. Also within section 2.0 of this report);
 - The treasury management strategy statement which sets out how the Council's treasury service will support the capital decisions taken above, the day to day treasury management and the limitations on activity through treasury prudential indicators. The key indicator is the Authorised Limit, the maximum amount of debt the Council could afford in the short term, but which would not be sustainable in the longer term. This is the Affordable Borrowing Limit required by s3 of the Local Government Act 2003. This is in accordance with the CIPFA Code of Practice on Treasury Management and the CIPFA Prudential Code and is shown in section 3.0 of this report;
 - The investment strategy which sets out the Council's criteria for choosing investment counterparties and limiting exposure to the risk of loss. This strategy is in accordance with the CLG Investment Guidance and is also shown in section 3.0 of this report.

The above policies and parameters provide an approved framework within which the officers undertake the day to day capital and treasury activities.

2.0 The Capital Prudential Indicators 2012/13 – 2014/15

2.1 Introduction

The Local Government Act 2003 requires the Council to adopt the CIPFA Prudential Code and produce prudential indicators. Each indicator either summarises the expected capital activity or introduces limits upon that activity, reflecting the outcome of the Council's underlying capital appraisal systems. This report updates currently approved indicators and introduces new indicators for 2014/15.

Within this overall prudential framework there is an impact on the Council's treasury management activity as it will directly impact on borrowing or investment activity. As a consequence the treasury management strategy for 2012/13 to 2014/15 is included as section 3.0 within this report, to complement these indicators. Some of the prudential indicators are shown in the treasury management strategy to aid understanding.

A key issue facing the Council is the impact of planned HRA reform. This would essentially end the impact of the housing subsidy system and will see the HRA as a stand alone business, without any impact arising from housing reform. The legislation has yet to be enacted, but the Council will need to approve revised limits in expectation of the reform going ahead.

The Council currently pays into the HRA subsidy system, but will have HRA debt redeemed as part of the HRA reform. This will reduce debt costs, although future income from the HRA subsidy system will cease. The change is expected to be beneficial for the Council.

2.2 The Capital Expenditure Plans

The Council's capital expenditure plans are summarised below and this forms the first of the prudential indicators. A certain level of capital expenditure is grant supported by the Government; any decisions by the Council to spend above this level will be considered unsupported capital expenditure. This unsupported capital expenditure needs to have regard to:

- Service objectives (e.g. strategic planning);
- Stewardship of assets (e.g. asset management planning);
- Value for money (e.g. option appraisal);
- Prudence and sustainability (e.g. implications for external borrowing and whole life costing);
- Affordability (e.g. implications for the council tax and rents);
- Practicality (e.g. the achievability of the forward plan).

The revenue consequences of capital expenditure, particularly the unsupported capital expenditure, will need to be paid for from the Council's own resources.

This capital expenditure can be paid for immediately (by applying capital resources such as capital receipts, capital grants, or revenue resources etc.), but if these resources are insufficient any residual capital expenditure will add to the Council's borrowing need.

The key risks to the plans are that the level of Government support has been estimated and therefore may be subject to change. Similarly some estimates for other

sources of funding, such as capital receipts, may also be subject to change over this timescale. For instance anticipated asset sales may be postponed due to the poor condition of the property market.

Due to the current financial restrictions the authority is facing, the capital programme has been revised to ensure that the projects of highest corporate priority and that are income generating are completed first. All other projects are to remain on hold but are kept in order of priority so that should the capital receipts exceed expectations, then the first project on that reserve list will be started.

The Council is asked to approve the summary capital expenditure projections below. This forms the first prudential indicator:

Capital	2011/12	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15
Expenditure	Original	Revised	Estimate	Estimate	Estimate
£m					
Non-HRA	10.065	6.375	8.045	1.554	1.554
HRA	3.266	3.534	2.740	2.400	2.650
Total	13.331	9.909	10.785	3.954	4.204
Financed by:					
Capital receipts	0.760	0.076	1.675	0.475	0.475
Capital grants	4.452	5.233	2.809	1.079	1.079
Capital reserves	3.116	3.459	2.140	1.900	2.150
Revenue	1.000	1.141	0.600	0.500	0.500
Net financing need for the year	4.003	0.000	3.561	0.000	0.000

2.3 The Council's Borrowing Need (the Capital Financing Requirement)

The second prudential indicator is the Council's Capital Financing Requirement (CFR). The CFR is simply the total historic outstanding capital expenditure which has not yet been paid for from either revenue or capital resources. It is essentially a measure of the Council's underlying borrowing need. The capital expenditure above which has not immediately been paid for will increase the CFR.

Following accounting changes the CFR includes any other long term liabilities (e.g. finance leases and PFI schemes) that are brought onto the balance sheet. Whilst this increases the CFR, and therefore the Council's borrowing requirement, these types of scheme include a borrowing facility and so the Council is not required to separately borrow for this scheme. The Council currently has £3.185m of such schemes within the CFR.

The Council is asked to approve the CFR projections below:

£m	2011/12	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15				
	Original	Revised	Estimate	Estimate	Estimate				
Capital Financing Re	Capital Financing Requirement								
CFR – Non Housing	23.502	19.209	22.111	21.364	20.424				
CFR - Housing	23.966	23.966	23.388	23.388	23.388				
HRA Settlement	0	(0.578)	0	0	0				
Total CFR	47.468	42.597	45.499	44.752	43.812				
Movement in CFR	3.306	(1.266)	2.902	(0.747)	(0.939)				

Movement in CFR represented by							
Net financing need for the year (above)	4.003	0.000	3.561	0.000	0.000		
HRA Settlement	0	(0.578)					
Less MRP/VRP and other financing movements	(0.697)	(0.688)	(0.659)	(0.747)	(0.939)		
Movement in CFR	3.306	(1.266)	2.902	(0.747)	(0.939)		

The Council is required to pay off an element of the accumulated General Fund capital spend each year (the CFR) through a revenue charge (the Minimum Revenue Provision - MRP), although it is also allowed to undertake additional voluntary payments if required (Voluntary Revenue Provision - VRP).

CLG Regulations have been issued which require full Council to approve **an MRP Statement** in advance of each year. A variety of options are provided to councils to replace existing Regulations, so long as there is a prudent provision. The Council is recommended to approve the following MRP Statement:

For capital expenditure incurred before 1 April 2008 or which in the future will be Supported Capital Expenditure, the MRP policy will be:

 Existing practice - MRP will follow the existing practice outlined in former CLG Regulations (Option 1);

This option provides for an approximate 4% reduction in the borrowing need (CFR) each year.

From 1 April 2008 for all unsupported borrowing (including PFI and Finance Leases) the MRP policy will be:

 Asset Life Method – MRP will be based on the estimated life of the assets, in accordance with the proposed regulations (this option must be applied for any expenditure capitalised under a Capitalisation Direction) (Option 3);

This option provides for a reduction in the borrowing need over the asset's life approximately.

Principal repayments of any loan will impact on the balance sheet by reducing the Council's long term liabilities and also its cash balances. The key issue for the Council is whether it has sufficient cash balances at the time to make the repayment. This will need to be considered before any new borrowing is pursued.

The Minimum Revenue Provision (MRP) charge to the General Fund is the amount shown in the accounts for the principal debt repayment, that hits the bottom line, and

so is part of the Council Tax calculations. By using the asset life method, the MRP spreads the cost over more financial years so that the impact on the General Fund is reduced.

However, the interest that must be paid on borrowing is a true cost to the general fund. This must be budgeted for and where borrowing is required for capital projects, an income stream will need to be identified to pay for this.

No revenue charge is currently required for the HRA. However under HRA reform the HRA will be required to charge depreciation on its assets, which will have a revenue effect. In order to address any possible adverse impact, regulations will allow the Major Repairs Allowance to be used as a proxy for depreciation for the first five years.

2.4 The Use of the Council's Resources and the Investment Position

The application of resources (capital receipts, reserves etc.) to either finance capital expenditure or other budget decisions to support the revenue budget will have an ongoing impact on investments unless resources are supplemented each year from new sources (asset sales etc.). Detailed below are estimates of the year end balances for each resource and anticipated day to day cash flow balances.

Year End Resources	2011/12	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15
£m	Original	Revised	Estimate	Estimate	Estimate
Fund balances	1.883	2.177	2.177	2.177	2.177
Capital receipts	0.760	0.076	1.675	0.475	0.475
Earmarked reserves	2.197	4.500	3.990	3.470	3.170
Total Core Funds	4.840	6.753	7.842	6.122	5.822
Working Capital*	19.405	20.554	18.878	18.130	17.191
Under/over borrowing	13.405	8.554	10.878	10.130	9.191
Expected Investments	6.000	12.000	8.000	8.000	8.000

^{*}Working capital balances shown are estimated year end; these may be higher mid year

2.5 Affordability Prudential Indicators

The previous sections cover the overall capital and control of borrowing prudential indicators, but within this framework prudential indicators are required to assess the affordability of the capital investment plans. These provide an indication of the impact of the capital investment plans on the Council's overall finances. The Council is asked to approve the following indicators:

2.6 Actual and Estimates of the ratio of financing costs to net revenue stream

This indicator identifies the trend in the cost of capital (borrowing and other long term obligation costs net of investment income) against the net revenue stream (the amount to be met from local taxpayers and central government grant, and rent income for the HRA).

%	2011/12	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15
	Original	Revised	Estimate	Estimate	Estimate
Non-HRA	3.0%	5.5%	4.0%	4.0%	4.0%
HRA	9.0%	10.5%	11.5%	11.5%	11.5%

The estimates of financing costs include current commitments and the proposals in this budget report.

2.7 Estimates of the incremental impact of capital investment decisions on the Council Tax

This indicator identifies the revenue costs associated with proposed changes to the three year capital programme recommended in this budget report compared to the Council's existing approved commitments and current plans. The assumptions are based on the budget, but will invariably include some estimates, such as the level of Government support, which are not published over a three year period.

Incremental impact of capital investment decisions on the Band D Council Tax

£m	Original 2011/12	Proposed Budget 2011/12	Forward Projection 2012/13	Forward Projection 2013/14	Forward Projection 2014/15
Council Tax - Band D	0	0	0	0	0.

2.8 Estimates of the incremental impact of capital investment decisions on Housing Rent levels

Similar to the Council tax calculation this indicator identifies the trend in the cost of proposed changes in the housing capital programme recommended in this budget report compared to the Council's existing commitments and current plans, expressed as a discrete impact on weekly rent levels.

Incremental impact of capital investment decisions Housing Rent levels

£	Original 2010/11	Proposed Budget 2010/11	Forward Projection 2011/12	Forward Projection 2012/13	Forward Projection 2013/14
Weekly Housing Rent levels	0	0	0	0	0

This indicator shows the revenue impact on any newly proposed changes, although any discrete impact will be constrained by rent controls.

3.0 Treasury Management Strategy 2012/13-2014/15

3.1 The treasury management service is an important part of the overall financial management of the Council's affairs. The prudential indicators in section 2.0 consider the affordability and impact of capital expenditure decisions, and set out the Council's overall capital framework. The treasury service considers the effective funding of these decisions. Together they form part of the process which ensures the Council meets its balanced budget requirement under the Local Government Finance Act 1992.

The Council's treasury activities are strictly regulated by statutory requirements and a professional code of practice (the CIPFA Code of Practice on Treasury Management). This Council adopted the revised Code of Practice on Treasury Management on the 25 February 2010.

As a result of adopting the Code, the Council also adopted a Treasury Management Policy Statement (21 August 2003). This adoption is the requirements of one of the prudential indicators.

The Constitution requires an annual strategy to be reported to Council outlining the expected treasury activity for the forthcoming 3 years. A key requirement of this report is to explain both the risks, and the management of the risks, associated with the treasury service. A further treasury report is produced after the year-end to report on actual activity for the year, and a new requirement of the revision of the Code of Practice is that there is a mid-year monitoring report.

This strategy covers:

- The Council's debt and investment projections;
- The Council's estimates and limits on future debt levels:
- The expected movement in interest rates:
- The Council's borrowing and investment strategies;
- Treasury performance indicators;
- Specific limits on treasury activities;

3.2 **Debt and Investment Projections 2012/13 – 2014/15**

The borrowing requirement comprises the expected movement in the CFR and any maturing debt which will need to be re-financed. The table below shows this effect on the treasury position over the next three years. The expected maximum debt position during each year represents the Operational Boundary prudential indicator, and so may be different from the year end position. The table also highlights the expected change in investment balances.

£m	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15
	Revised	Estimate	Estimate	Estimate
External Debt				
Debt at 1 April	27.646	27.068	30.625	30.625
Expected change in debt	0.000	3.557	0.000	0.000
HRA settlement	(0.578)			
Debt at 31 March	27.068	30.625	30.625	30.625
Operational Boundary	37.000	43.000	42.000	41.000
Investments				
Total Investments as at 31				
March	12.000	8.000	8.000	8.000
Investment change	0.000	(4.000)	0.000	0.000

The related impact of the above movements on the revenue budget is:

£m	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15	
	Revised	Estimate	Estimate	Estimate	
Revenue Budgets					
Interest on Borrowing	1.449	1.396	1.365	1.365	
Related HRA Charge	1.252	1.206	1.179	1.179	
Net General Fund					
Borrowing Cost	0.197	0.190	0.186	0.186	
Investment income	0.145	0.145	0.145	0.153	

3.3 Limits to Borrowing Activity

Within the prudential indicators there are a number of key indicators to ensure the Council operates its activities within well defined limits

For the first of these the Council needs to ensure that its total borrowing net of any investments, does not, except in the short term, exceed the total of the CFR in the preceding year plus the estimates of any additional CFR for 2012/13 and the following two financial years (the relevant comparative figures are highlighted). This allows some flexibility for limited early borrowing for future years, but ensures that borrowing is not undertaken for revenue purposes.

£m	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15
	Revised	Estimate	Estimate	Estimate
External Loans	27.068	30.625	30.625	30.625
Plus Other long term liabilities	3.185	3.185	3.185	3.185
Gross Borrowing	30.253	33.810	33.810	33.810
Less Investments	12.000	8.000	8.000	8.000
Net Borrowing	18.253	25.810	25.810	25.810
CFR*	43.175	45.499	44.752	43.812

^{`* -} Under the Prudential Code revision any falls in the CFR are ignored.

The Section 151 Officer reports that the Council has complied with this prudential indicator in the current financial year and does not envisage difficulties in the future. This view takes into account current commitments, existing plans, and the proposals in this budget report.

The Authorised Limit for External Debt – A further key prudential indicator represents a control on the overall level of borrowing. This represents a limit beyond which external debt is prohibited, and this limit needs to be set or revised by full Council. It reflects the level of external debt which, while not desired, could be afforded in the short term, but is not sustainable in the longer term.

The Authorised Limit is the statutory limit determined under section 3 (1) of the Local Government Act 2003. The Government retains an option to control either the total of all councils' plans, or those of a specific council, although no control has yet been exercised.

The Osmethie educati		£ - 11	A41! 1	1 1 2 24
The Council is asked to	o approve tne	tollowing	Authorised	Limit:

Authorised limit £m	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15
	Revised	Estimate	Estimate	Estimate
Borrowing	36.000	36.000	36.000	36.000
Less HRA Settlement	(0.578)			
Other long term liabilities	15.000	14.000	13.000	12.000
Total	50.422	50.000	49.000	48.000

Separately, the Council is also limited to a maximum HRA CFR through the HRA self-financing regime. This limit is currently:

HRA Debt Limit £m	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15
	Estimate	Estimate	Estimate	Estimate
Total	28.349	28.138	28.138	28.138

3.4 Expected Movement in Interest

The Council has appointed Sector as its Treasury advisors and part of their service is to assist the Council to formulate a view on interest rates. The following table gives the Sector Central view.

Medium-Term Rate Estimates (averages)

Annual Average %	Bank Rate	Money Rates		PWLB Borrowing Rates		Rates
		3 month	1 year	5 year	25 year	50 year
March 2012	0.50	0.70	1.50	2.30	4.20	4.30
June 2012	0.50	0.70	1.50	2.30	4.20	4.30
Sept 2012	0.50	0.70	1.50	2.30	4.30	4.40
Dec2012	0.50	0.70	1.60	2.40	4.30	4.40
March 2013	0.50	0.75	1.70	2.50	4.40	4.50
June 2013	0.50	0.80	1.80	2.60	4.50	4.60
Sept 2013	0.75	0.90	1.90	2.70	4.60	4.70
Dec 2013	1.00	1.20	2.20	2.80	4.70	4.80
March 2014	1.25	1.40	2.40	2.90	4.80	4.90
June 2014	1.50	1.60	2.60	3.10	4.90	5.00

Growth in the UK economy is expected to be weak in the next two years and there is a risk of a technical recession (i.e. two quarters of negative growth). Bank Rate, currently 0.5%, underpins investment returns and is not expected to start increasing until quarter 3 of 2013 despite inflation currently being well above the Monetary Policy Committee inflation target. Hopes for an export led recovery appear likely to be disappointed due to the Eurozone sovereign debt crisis depressing growth in the UK's biggest export market. The Comprehensive Spending Review, which seeks to reduce the UK's annual fiscal deficit, will also depress growth during the next few years.

Fixed interest borrowing rates are based on UK gilt yields. The outlook for borrowing rates is currently much more difficult to predict. The UK total national debt is forecast to continue rising until 2015/16; the consequent increase in gilt issuance is therefore expected to be reflected in an increase in gilt yields over this period. However, gilt yields are currently at historically low levels due to investor concerns over Eurozone sovereign debt and have been subject to exceptionally high levels of volatility as events in the Eurozone debt crisis have evolved.

This challenging and uncertain economic outlook has several key treasury mangement implications:

- The Eurozone sovereign debt difficulties, most evident in Greece, provide a clear indication of much higher counterparty risk. This continues to suggest the use of higher quality counterparties for shorter time periods;
- Investment returns are likely to remain relatively low during 2012/13;
- Borrowing interest rates are currently attractive, but may remain low for some time. The timing of any borrowing will need to be monitored carefully;
- There will remain a cost of capital any borrowing undertaken that results in an increase in investments will incur a revenue loss between borrowing costs and investment returns.

3.5 **Borrowing Strategy 2012/13 – 2014/15**

The Council is currently maintaining an under-borrowed position. This means that the capital borrowing need (the Capital Financing Requirement), has not been fully funded with loan debt as cash supporting the Council's reserves, balances and cash flow has been used as a temporary measure. This strategy is prudent as investment returns are low and counterparty risk is high and will be maintained for borrowing excluding the HRA reform settlement.

Against this background and the risks within the economic forecast, caution will be adopted with the 2012/13 treasury operations. The S151 Officer will monitor interest rates in financial markets and adopt a pragmatic approach to changing circumstances:

- if it was felt that there was a significant risk of a sharp FALL in long and short term rates, e.g. due to a marked increase of risks around relapse into recession or of risks of deflation, then long term borrowings will be postponed, and potential rescheduling from fixed rate funding into short term borrowing will be considered.
- if it was felt that there was a significant risk of a much sharper RISE in long and short term rates than that currently forecast, perhaps arising from a greater than expected increase in world economic activity or a sudden increase in inflation risks, then the portfolio position will be re-appraised with the likely action that fixed rate funding will be drawn whilst interest rates were still relatively cheap.

Draft figures for the HRA reform settlement suggest that the Council will be in receipt of a one off settlement payment to be paid direct to PWLB to top slice existing PWLB Loans. In the event that the final settlement changes and there is a requirement to payover a debt settlement to the department of Communities and Local Government, the authority's borrowing strategy will need to be reviewed and any decisions will be reported to the appropriate decision making body at the next available opportunity.

3.6 Treasury Management Limits on Activity

There are four further treasury activity limits, which were previously prudential indicators. The purpose of these are to contain the activity of the treasury function within certain limits, thereby managing risk and reducing the impact of an adverse movement in interest rates. However if these are set to be too restrictive they will impair the opportunities to reduce costs/improve performance. The indicators are:

- Upper limits on variable interest rate exposure This identifies a maximum limit for variable interest rates based upon the debt position net of investments.
- Upper limits on fixed interest rate exposure Similar to the previous indicator this covers a maximum limit on fixed interest rates.
- Maturity structures of borrowing These gross limits are set to reduce the Council's exposure to large fixed rate sums falling due for refinancing, and are required for upper and lower limits.
- Total principal funds invested for greater than 364 days These limits are set with regard to the Council's liquidity requirements and to reduce the need for early sale of an investment, and are based on the availability of funds after each year-end.

The Council is asked to approve the limits:

£m		2	2013/14		2014/15			
	2012/1	2012/13			2014/15			
Interest rate Exposures	Interest rate Exposures							
	Uppe	r	Upper		Upper			
Limits on fixed interest rates:								
 Debt only 		0.000		000	48.000			
 Investments only 	38	5.000	35.	000	35.000			
Limits on variable interest rates								
Debt only	50	0.000	49.	000	48.000			
Investments only	35	5.000	35.	000	35.000			
Maturity Structure of fixed	interest rate	borro	wing 2012/13					
			Lower		Upper			
Under 12 months		0%			25%			
12 months to 2 years		0%		30%				
2 years to 5 years		0%		40%				
5 years to 10 years		0%		50%				
10 years to 20 years		0%			45%			
20 years to 30 years		0%			45%			
30 years to 40 years		0%			50%			
40 years to 50 years		0%			50%			
50 years and above		0%			50%			
Maximum principal sums in	Maximum principal sums invested > 364 days for 2012-13, 2013-14, 2014-15							
Principal sums invested > 364 days	£0		£0		£0			

3.7 Policy on Borrowing in Advance of Need

Borrowing in advance of need – The Council has some flexibility to borrow funds this year for use in future years. The Section 151 Officer may do this under delegated power where, for instance, a sharp rise in interest rates is expected, and so borrowing early at fixed interest rates will be economically beneficial or meet budgetary constraints. Whilst the Section 151 Officer will adopt a cautious approach to any such borrowing, where there is a clear business case for doing so borrowing may be undertaken to fund the approved capital programme or to fund future debt maturities. Borrowing in advance will be made within the constraints that:

The authority would not look to borrow more than 18 months in advance of need.

Risks associated with any advance borrowing activity will be subject to appraisal in advance and subsequent reporting through the mid-year or annual reporting mechanism.

3.8 **Debt Rescheduling**

As short term borrowing rates will be considerably cheaper than longer term fixed interest rates, there may be potential opportunities to generate savings by switching

from long term debt to short term debt. However, these savings will need to be considered in the light of the current treasury position and the size of the cost of debt repayment (premiums incurred).

The reason for any rescheduling to take place will include:

- The generation of cash savings and /or discounted cash flow savings;
- Helping to fulfil the treasury strategy;
- Enhance the balance of the portfolio (amend the maturity profile and/or the balance of volatility).

Consideration will also be given to identify if there is any residual potential for making savings by running down investment balances to repay debt prematurely as short term rates on investments are likely to be lower than rates paid on current debt.

As part of the HRA reform the Council will receive monies from the CLG in the form of debt redemption. Under this senario a proportion of the HRA debt will be repaid by the CLG on 28 March 2012. The Council will not have any influence over this debt redemption and so a proportion of each PWLB loan will be repaid authomatically.

All rescheduling will be reported to Cabinet, at the earlies meeting following its action.

4.0 Investment Strategy 2012/13 – 2014/15

4.1 Key Objectives

The Council's investment strategy primary objectives are safeguarding the repayment of the principal and interest of its investments on time, and then ensuring adequate liquidity, with the investment return being the final objective. Following the economic background above, the current investment climate has one over-riding risk, counterparty security risk. As a result of these underlying concerns officers are implementing an operational investment strategy which tightens the controls already in place in the approved investment strategy.

4.2 Risk Benchmarking

A development in the revised Codes and the CLG Investment Guidance is the consideration and approval of security and liquidity benchmarks. Yield benchmarks are currently widely used to assess investment performance. Discrete security and liquidity benchmarks are new requirements to the Member reporting, although the application of these is more subjective in nature. Additional background in the approach taken is attached in section 6.0 of this report.

These benchmarks are simple guides to maximum risk and so may be breached from time to time, depending on movements in interest rates and counterparty criteria. The purpose of the benchmark is that officers will monitor the current and trend position and amend the operational strategy to manage risk as conditions change. Any breach of the benchmarks will be reported, with supporting reasons in the Mid-Year or Annual Report.

Security - The Council's maximum security risk benchmark for the current portfolio, when compared to these historic default tables, is:

0.05% historic risk of default when compared to the whole portfolio.

Liquidity – In respect of this area the Council seeks to maintain:

• Bank overdraft - £0.5m

- Liquid short term deposits of at least £5m available with a week's notice.
- Weighted Average Life benchmark is expected to be 0.5 years, with a maximum of 1.0 years.

Yield - Local measures of yield benchmarks are:

• Investments – Internal returns above the 7 day LIBID rate

And in addition that the security benchmark for each individual year is:

	1 year	2 years	3 years	4 years	5 years
Maximum	0.05%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%

Note: This benchmark is an average risk of default measure, and would not constitute an expectation of loss against a particular investment.

4.3 Investment Counterparty Selection Criteria

The primary principle governing the Council's investment criteria is the security of its investments, although the yield or return on the investment is also a key consideration. After this main principle the Council will ensure:

- It maintains a policy covering both the categories of investment types it will invest in, criteria for choosing investment counterparties with adequate security, and monitoring their security. This is set out in the Specified and Non-Specified investment sections below.
- It has sufficient liquidity in its investments. For this purpose it will set out procedures for determining the maximum periods for which funds may prudently be committed. These procedures also apply to the Council's prudential indicators covering the maximum principal sums invested.

The Section 151 Officer will maintain a counterparty list in compliance with the following criteria and will revise the criteria and submit them to Council for approval as necessary. This criteria is separate to that which chooses Specified and Non-Specified investments as it provides an overall pool of counterparties considered high quality the Council may use rather than defining what its investments are.

The rating criteria use the **lowest common denominator** method of selecting counterparties and applying limits. This means that the application of the Council's minimum criteria will apply to the lowest available rating for any institution. For instance if an institution is rated by two agencies, one meets the Council's criteria, the other does not, the institution will fall outside the lending criteria. This is in compliance with a CIPFA Treasury Management Panel recommendation in March 2009 and the CIPFA Treasury Management Code of Practice.

Credit rating information is supplied by our treasury consultants on all active counterparties that comply with the criteria below. Any counterparty failing to meet the criteria would be omitted from the counterparty (dealing) list. Any rating changes, rating watches (notification of a likely change), rating outlooks (notification of a possible longer term change) are provided to officers almost immediately after they occur and this information is considered before dealing. For instance a negative rating watch applying to a counterparty at the minimum Council criteria will be removed from the list, with all others being reviewed in the light of market conditions.

The criteria for providing a pool of high quality investment counterparties (both Specified and Non-specified investments) are:

- Banks 1 Good Credit Quality the Council will only use banks which:
 - i. Are UK banks; and/or
 - ii. Are non-UK and domiciled in a country which has a minimum Sovereign long term rating of AAA

and have, as a minimum, the following Fitch, Moody's and Standard and Poors credit ratings (where rated):

- i. Short Term F1
- ii. Long Term A
- iii. Individual/Financial Strength C (Fitch/Moody's only)
- iv. Support 3 (Fitch only)
- Banks 2 Guaranteed Banks with suitable Sovereign Support In addition, the Council will use banks whose ratings fall below the criteria specified above if all of the following conditions are met:
 - (a) wholesale deposits in the bank are covered by a government guarantee;
 - (b) the government providing the guarantee is rated "AAA" by all three major rating agencies (Fitch, Moody's and Standard & Poors); and
 - (c) the Council's investments with the bank are limited to amounts and maturities within the terms of the stipulated guarantee.
- Banks 3 Eligible Institutions The organisation was considered an Eligible Institution for the HM Treasury Credit Guarantee Scheme initially announced on 13 October 2008, with the necessary short and long term ratings required in Banks 1 above. These institutions were subject to suitability checks before inclusion.
- Banks 4 The Council's own banker for transactional purposes if the bank falls below the above criteria, although in this case balances will be minimised in both monetary size and time.
- Bank Subsidiary and Treasury Operations the Council will use these where the parent bank has the necessary ratings outlined above.
- Building Societies the Council will use all Societies which:
 - i. meet the ratings for banks outlined above
- Money Market Funds AAA
- UK Government (including gilts and the DMADF)
- Local Authorities, Parish Councils etc
- Supranational institutions

A limit of 0% will be applied to the use of Non-Specified investments as it is the Council's policy not to invest for longer than a one year period at this time.

4.4 Country and sector considerations

Due care will be taken to consider the country, group and sector exposure of the Council's investments. In part the country selection will be chosen by the credit rating of the Sovereign state in Banks 1 above. In addition:

- no more than 10% will be placed with any non-UK country at any time;
- limits in place above will apply to Group companies;
- Sector limits will be monitored regularly for appropriateness.

4.5 Use of additional information other than credit ratings

Additional requirements under the Code of Practice require the Council to supplement credit rating information. Whilst the above criteria relies primarily on the application of credit ratings to provide a pool of appropriate counterparties for officers to use, additional operational market information will be applied before making any specific investment decision from the agreed pool of counterparties. This additional market information (for example Credit Default Swaps, negative rating watches/outlooks) will be applied to compare the relative security of differing investment counterparties.

4.6 Time and Monetary Limits applying to Investments

The time and monetary limits for institutions on the Council's Counterparty List are as follows (these will cover both Specified and Non-Specified Investments):

	Fitch, Moody's,	Money Limit	Time Limit
	Standard & Poor's		
	respectively		
Upper limit Category	F1+, P1, A1+	£6m	1 yr
Middle Limit Category	F1, P1, A1	£5m	1 yr
Debt Management Account Deposit Facility	-	No Limit	6 months
Money Market Funds	AAA	£5m	1 yr
Guaranteed Organisations (Eligible Institutions)	-	£4m	1 yr

The proposed criteria for Specified and Non-Specified investments are shown in section 5.0 for approval.

In the normal course of the Council's cash flow operations it is expected that both Specified and Non-specified investments will be utilised for the control of liquidity as both categories allow for short term investments.

The use of longer term instruments (greater than one year from inception to repayment) will fall in the Non-specified investment category. These instruments will not be used by the Council.

4.7 Economic Investment Considerations

Expectations on shorter-term interest rates, on which investment decisions are based, show likelihood of the current 0.5% Bank Rate remaining flat but with the possibility of a rise in mid/late-2013. The Council's investment decisions are based on comparisons between the rises priced into market rates against the Council's and advisers own forecasts.

The criteria for choosing counterparties set out above provide a sound approach to investment in "normal" market circumstances. Whilst Members are asked to approve

this base criteria above, under exceptional current market conditions the Section 151 Officer may temporarily restrict further investment activity to those counterparties considered of higher credit quality than the minimum criteria set out for approval. These restrictions will remain in place until the banking system returns to "normal" conditions. Similarly the time periods for investments will be restricted.

Examples of these restrictions would be the greater use of the Debt Management Deposit Account Facility (DMADF – a Government body which accepts local authority deposits), Money Market Funds, and strongly rated institutions. The credit criteria have been amended to reflect these facilities.

4.8 Sensitivity to Interest Rate Movements

Future Council accounts will be required to disclose the impact of risks on the Council's treasury management activity. Whilst most of the risks facing the treasury management service are addressed elsewhere in this report (credit risk, liquidity risk, market risk, maturity profile risk), the impact of interest rate risk is discussed but not quantified. The table below highlights the estimated impact of a 1% increase/decrease in all interest rates to the estimated treasury management costs/income for next year. That element of the debt and investment portfolios which are of a longer term, fixed interest rate nature will not be affected by interest rate changes.

£m	2012/13	2012/13
	Estimated	Estimated
	+ 1%	- 1%
Revenue Budgets		
Interest on Borrowing	0.038	(0.038)
Net General Fund Borrowing Cost	0.038	(0.038)
Investment income	0.193	(0.193)

4.9 **Performance Indicators**

The Code of Practice on Treasury Management requires the Council to set performance indicators to assess the adequacy of the treasury function over the year. These are distinct historic indicators, as opposed to the prudential indicators, which are predominantly forward looking. Examples of performance indicators often used for the treasury function are:

- Debt Borrowing Average rate of borrowing for the year compared to average available
- Debt Average rate movement year on year
- Investments Internal returns above the 7 day LIBID rate

The results of these indicators will be reported in the Treasury Annual Report.

4.10 Member and Officer Training

The increased Member consideration of treasury management matters and the need to ensure officers dealing with treasury management are trained and kept up to date requires a suitable training process for Members and officers. This Council has addressed this important issue by:

a. Using our treasury management consultants to provide training for our Members as appropriate. The last training course was held on the 14th June 2011. Members may also attend the basic treasury management training course held by Sector in London. b. The officer responsible for the daily treasury management function has completed the CIPFA certificate qualification. They have also attended a refresher course with Sector in London on 25 November 2011.

5.0 Treasury Management Practice (TMP) 1 – Credit and Counterparty Risk Management

5.1 The CLG issued Investment Guidance in 2010, and this forms the structure of the Council's policy below. These guidelines do not apply to either trust funds or pension funds which are under a different regulatory regime.

The key intention of the Guidance is to maintain the current requirement for Councils to invest prudently, and that priority is given to security and liquidity before yield. In order to facilitate this objective the guidance requires this Council to have regard to the CIPFA publication Treasury Management in the Public Services: Code of Practice and Cross-Sectoral Guidance Notes. This Council adopted the Code and will apply its principles to all investment activity. In accordance with the Code, the Section 151 Officer has produced its treasury management practices (TMPs). This part, TMP 1(5), covering investment counterparty policy requires approval each year.

5.2 Annual Investment Strategy

The key requirements of both the Code and the investment guidance are to set an annual investment strategy, as part of its annual treasury strategy for the following year, covering the identification and approval of following:

- The strategy guidelines for choosing and placing investments, particularly nonspecified investments.
- The principles to be used to determine the maximum periods for which funds can be committed.
- Specified investments the Council will use. These are high security (i.e. high credit rating, although this is defined by the Council, and no guidelines are given), and high liquidity investments in sterling and with a maturity of no more than a year.
- Non-specified investments, clarifying the greater risk implications, identifying the general types of investment that may be used and a limit to the overall amount of various categories that can be held at any time.

The investment policy proposed for the Council is:

Strategy Guidelines – The main strategy guidelines are contained in the body of the treasury strategy statement.

- 5.3 **Specified Investments** These investments are sterling investments of not more than one-year maturity, or those which could be for a longer period but where the Council has the right to be repaid within 12 months if it wishes. These are considered low risk assets where the possibility of loss of principal or investment income is small. These would include sterling investments which would not be defined as capital expenditure with:
 - 1. The UK Government (such as the Debt Management Account deposit facility, UK Treasury Bills or a Gilt with less than one year to maturity).
 - Supranational bonds of less than one year's duration.
 - 3. A local authority, parish council or community council.
 - 4. Pooled investment vehicles (such as money market funds) that have been awarded a high credit rating by a credit rating agency. For category 4 this

- covers pooled investment vehicles, such as money market funds, rated AAA by Standard and Poor's, Moody's or Fitch rating agencies.
- 5. A body that is considered of a high credit quality (such as a bank or building society. For category 5 this covers bodies with a minimum short term rating of F1 (or the equivalent) as rated by Standard and Poor's, Moody's or Fitch rating agencies.

Within these bodies, and in accordance with the Code, the Council has set additional criteria to set the time and amount of monies which will be invested in these bodies.

This criteria is:

	Fitch, Moody's,	Money Limit	Time Limit
	Standard & Poor's		
	respectively		
Upper limit Category	F1+, P1, A1+	£6m	1 yr
Middle Limit Category	F1, P1, A1	£5m	1 yr
Debt Management Account Deposit Facility	-	No Limit	6 months
Money Market Funds	AAA	£5m	1 yr
Guaranteed Organisations (Eligible Institutions)	-	£4m	1 yr

5.4 Non-Specified Investments

Non-specified investments are any other type of investment (i.e. not defined as Specified above). The Council do not use non-specified investments.

5.5 The Monitoring of Investment Counterparties

The credit rating of counterparties will be monitored regularly. The Council receives credit rating information (changes, rating watches and rating outlooks) from Sector as and when ratings change, and counterparties are checked promptly. On occasion ratings may be downgraded when an investment has already been made. The criteria used are such that a minor downgrading should not affect the full receipt of the principal and interest. Any counterparty failing to meet the criteria will be removed from the list immediately by the Section 151 Officer, and if required new counterparties which meet the criteria will be added to the list.

5.6 Use of External Fund Managers

It is the Council's policy not to use external fund managers for any part of its investment portfolio.

5.7 Policy on the use of External Services Providers

The Council uses Sector as its treasury management consultants. The company provides a range of services which include:

- Technical support on treasury matters, capital finance issues and the drafting of Member reports;
- Economic and interest rate analysis;
- Debt services which includes advice on the timing of borrowing;
- Debt rescheduling advice surrounding the existing portfolio;
- Generic investment advice on interest rates, timing and investment instruments;
- Credit ratings/market information service comprising the three main credit rating agencies;

Whilst the advisers provide support to the internal treasury function, under current market rules and the CIPFA Code of Practice the final decision on treasury matters remains with the Council. This service is subject to regular review.

It also recognises that there is value in employing external providers of treasury management services in order to acquire access to specialist skills and resources. The Council will ensure that the terms of their appointment and the methods by which their value will be assessed are properly agreed and documented and subject to regular review.

The Council uses the ICD Portal to invest or redeem trades in its Money Market Funds (MMFs). The portal provides advanced reporting tools so that the authority can assess its exposure to certain banks or countries, which is vital in the current Eurozone crisis.

Some investments via the ICD portal are made via JP Morgan who act as a clearing house for three of the five MMFs the Council currently uses. The Clearing house allows the authority to make several investments in different MMFs but only requires one payment to the clearing house, therefore saving the authority costs in CHAPs fees.

6.0 Security, Liquidity and Yield Benchmarking

6.1 Benchmarking and Monitoring Security, Liquidity and Yield in the Investment Service

A proposed development for Member reporting is the consideration and approval of security and liquidity benchmarks.

These benchmarks are targets and so may be breached from time to time. Any breach will be reported, with supporting reasons in the Annual Treasury Report.

Yield – These benchmarks are currently widely used to assess investment performance. Local measures of yield benchmarks are:

• Investments – Internal returns above the 7 day LIBID rate

Security and liquidity benchmarks are already intrinsic to the approved treasury strategy through the counterparty selection criteria and some of the prudential indicators. However they have not previously been separately and explicitly set out for Member consideration. Proposed benchmarks for the cash type investments are below and these will form the basis of future reporting in this area. In the other investment categories appropriate benchmarks will be used where available.

Liquidity – This is defined as "having adequate, though not excessive cash resources, borrowing arrangements, overdrafts or standby facilities to enable it at all times to have the level of funds available to it which are necessary for the achievement of its

business/service objectives" (CIPFA Treasury Management Code of Practice). In respect of this area the Council seeks to maintain:

- Bank overdraft £0.5m
- Liquid short term deposits of at least £5m available with a week's notice.

The availability of liquidity and the term risk in the portfolio can be benchmarked by the monitoring of the Weighted Average Life (WAL) of the portfolio – shorter WAL would generally embody less risk. In this respect the proposed benchmark is to be used:

WAL benchmark is expected to be 0.5 years, with a maximum of 1.0 years.

Security of the investments – In context of benchmarking, assessing security is a much more subjective area to assess. Security is currently evidenced by the application of minimum credit quality criteria to investment counterparties, primarily through the use of credit ratings supplied by the three main credit rating agencies (Fitch, Moody's and Standard and Poors). Whilst this approach embodies security considerations, benchmarking levels of risk is more problematic. One method to benchmark security risk is to assess the historic level of default against the minimum criteria used in the Council's investment strategy. The table beneath shows average defaults for differing periods of investment grade products for each Fitch/Moody's Standard and Poors long term rating category over the period 1990 to 2009.

Years	1	2	3	4	5
AAA	0.00%	0.01%	0.05%	0.10%	0.17%
AA	0.03%	0.06%	0.08%	0.14%	0.20%
Α	0.08%	0.22%	0.37%	0.52%	0.70%
BBB	0.24%	0.68%	1.19%	1.79%	2.42%
ВВ	1.22%	3.24%	5.34%	7.31%	9.14%
В	4.06%	8.82%	12.72%	16.25%	19.16%
CCC	24.03%	31.91%	37.73%	41.54%	45.22%

The Council's minimum long term rating criteria is currently "A" meaning the average expectation of default for a one year investment in a counterparty with a "A" long term rating would be 0.08% of the total investment (e.g. for a £1m investment the average loss would be £300). This is only an average - any specific counterparty loss is likely to be higher - but these figures do act as a proxy benchmark for risk across the portfolio.

The Council's maximum security risk benchmark for the whole portfolio, when compared to these historic default tables, is:

• 0.05% historic risk of default when compared to the whole portfolio.

And in addition that the security benchmark for each individual year is:

	1 year	2 years	3 years	4 years	5 years
Maximum	0.05%	0%	0%	0%	0%

These benchmarks are embodied in the criteria for selecting cash investment counterparties and these will be monitored and reported to Members in the Investment Annual Report. As this data is collated, trends and analysis will be collected and reported. Where a counterparty is not credit rated a proxy rating will be applied.

7.0 Options

- 7.1 That the Governance and Audit Committee:
 - Approve the Treasury Management Strategy 2012-13 and recommend that it is approved by Full Council.
 - Do not approve the Treasury Management Strategy 2012-3 and do not recommend that it is approved by Full Council, thereby not complying with the Treasury Management Code of Practice.

8.0 Corporate Implications

8.1 Financial

8.1.1 The Financial Implications are highlighted within the report.

8.2 Legal

8.2.1 There are no legal implications arising directly from this report.

8.3 Corporate

8.3.1 Failure to undertake this process will impact on the Council's compliance with the Treasury Management Code of Practice.

8.4 Equity and Equalities

8.4.1 There are no equity or equalities issues arising from this report.

9.0 Recommendation(s)

- 9.1 The Governance and Audit Committee is recommended to approve each of the key elements of these reports, and recommend these to Council:
 - 1. The Prudential Indicators and Limits for 2012/13 to 2014/15, including the Authorised Limit Prudential Indicator.
 - 2. The Minimum Revenue Provision (MRP) Statement contained which sets out the Council's policy on MRP.
 - 3. The Treasury Management Strategy 2012/13 to 2014/15 and the treasury Prudential Indicators.
 - 4. The Investment Strategy 2012/13 contained in the treasury management strategy and the detailed criteria.

10.0 Decision Making Process

- 10.1 Under the treasury Management Code of Practice it is required that the Governance and Audit Committee note this report before it is sent to Council for approval.
- 10.2 Following the Governance and Audit Committee's approval, this report must go to Council as part of the Medium Term Financial Strategy.

Council	Date: 19/01/2011
---------	------------------

Contact Officer:	Clive Bowen, tel: 01843 577225
Reporting to:	Sarah Martin, tel: 01843 577617

11.0 Corporate Consultation Undertaken

Finance	Nicola Walker
Legal	Peter Reilly